



# InnovateUNO

## Oral Presentation Evaluation

JUDGES MUST SUBMIT EVALUATIONS VIA MICROSOFT FORM; DO NOT USE THIS SHEET

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	POINTS
<b>CONCEPT</b>						
<b>Originality:</b> The topic introduced something new or innovative.	5	4	3	2	1	
<b>Clarity:</b> The topic was clearly stated and easily understood.	5	4	3	2	1	
<b>Potential:</b> The topic can be further developed; it might lead to future success or usefulness.	5	4	3	2	1	
<b>APPROACH</b>						
<b>Process:</b> The research/scholastic methods were appropriate to the objective.	5	4	3	2	1	
<b>Relevance:</b> The results were meaningful and relevant.	5	4	3	2	1	
<b>Validity:</b> The conclusions/interpretations were consistent with the results.	5	4	3	2	1	
<b>PRESENTATION</b>						
<b>Design:</b> The presentation was clear and informative; the main conclusions were easily identified.	5	4	3	2	1	
<b>Accuracy:</b> The text is generally free of misspellings, grammar errors, typos, etc.	5	4	3	2	1	
<b>Lettering:</b> The right amount of text is included (not too much or too little). The text is easy to read.	5	4	3	2	1	
<b>Pertinence:</b> The tables, figures, photographs, artwork, multimedia, or other visuals complemented and contributed to the presenter's ideas and purpose.	5	4	3	2	1	
<b>Preparation:</b> The presenter(s) seemed well-informed and professional. Instructions were followed, and the presentation was delivered well.	5	4	3	2	1	
<b>Length:</b> The speaker concluded in a timely fashion.	5	4	3	2	1	
<b>POINT TOTALS</b>						