Hunter – specific reason for individual fees?

- Learning Library – fee that doesn’t fund it. Fund the things we have already outlined and build it like the academics fee where we are allotted a certain fee. Shawnexibility and more student control. Not give to departments give to students.
- SHAWN: All motions will have to go through the Senate. This is goes to the senate and then is dispersed from there.
- Hunter - this is a better way to budget these projects. All needs will be different.
- SHAWN: only so much willing to take out of FY. Privateer Camp – value in it.
- Hunter: not arguing value.
- SHAWN: Heavier in FYU to get them indoctrinated for studnets into SIL.
- Hunter: whoever is presetnign with better proposals will come to the senate will be getting the best funding.
- SHAWN: not Antonio on performance funding. Who comes to request the money? If looking at SIL FYU merger requesting from budget committee.
- Hunter: leader of nonacademic unit comes for the funds. The relevant faculty head of the faculty staff. Designs of budgeting policies are the
- Christy: that specific dollar amount goes to that specific category; if there is subocmmitte who controls the money and who doesn’t you are imposing a lot of bias
- Hunter; cannot get rid of a program all together; cannot get rid of it all together.
- Antonio: can only be reduced 80%
- Hunter: some things do stay on the budget forever (i.e. legal services). Still student deciding what is relevant. Heavy redundancies in here; more policy vs fee side. Fair Shawnexible, transparent, and student controlled
- Brandon: keep funds from the fee?
- Hunter: advocating to combine them. Do not want to keep money for things that aren’t working.
- Christy: the money does not just go through the dept. SG controls all the money. They still have to come to SG but SG can only give them $18,000 per year for $1.
- Hunter: these multiple fees are a lot of commiittees; create an infrastructure to support these and create policies for each. Reasons to allocate them to each. In addition loose Shawnexibility to get rid of the fees.
- Antonio: UL system will not let students get rid of fees.
- SHAWN: is there a way to charge a fee…. Scraping committee.
- Hunter: it will get slashed into a service account.
- SHAWN: laws are similar to those of SHAWN State. If we charge the fee it will go to scraping account.
- Hunter: doesn’t work that way in LA.
- SHAWN: if it is like that the law needs to change.
- Hunter: one time expeneitures.
- SHAWN: change the states law?
- Hunter: the vagueness.
- SHAWN & Hunter: would like to look into the law of LA.
- Hunter: Merging together have a larger reserve account to move funds arounds.
SHAWN: when Senate writes a bill. Rewrite it within the general ideas of what we have decided to keep this place going.

Hunter: beaut. Vs main ?

SHAWN: makes sure it goes to where it needs to go to.

Christy: write a % that is equal to.

Josh: we can write a percentage that has to go towards something?

Hunter: will include all that in the constitution.

Antonio: break down percentages to present to the senate is cool.

SHAWN: only problem is if they go way off base.

Me: Motion to change the organizational structure of the allocated funds to a percentage infrastructure?

SHAWN: should not vote because this is a guideline. This is a guiding document not a binding document.

Hunter: allows us to bring this up that. It’s a marketing thing.

Motion to approve the policy change to remerge the maintenance and beutaifulatignon fees but requiring that 10% is used for beautification purposes. Vote is unanimous.

SHAWN: athletic factors bring to an organization that brings high research, classification 1’s.

UNO is classified as division 1; without sports you would be pressed to find high research without athletics. Maybe a handfull that are division2. Diff b/w is scholarship offers, fund raising, and notoriety.

Brandon: are we getting that now?

SHAWN: athletics UNO – 0. La tech almost 5 million – la fayete ahteltics – 0 ----- ahteltics providing free. Free advertising and marketing to entire country. They give the money raised to the university from tuition dollars, every student credit hour they get the state gives money to UL.

Brandon: same as giving a scholarship to another student?

SHAWN: difference is the marketing and brand, entertainment who might write a Antonio check and fund.

Brandon: do people take into account sports when they come to a school?

Antonio: gets name out there.

Brandon: who is giving research dollars to people because of sports.

SHAWN: scholarship loads lighten from the donation to bottom line.

Hunter: word it like 30% has to be spent on enrollment and retention projects or programming. Keep it broad.

Antonio: for that.

Motion to merge the learning commons, SIL, FYE, and counseling fees into a single privateer experience fee with 30% reserved for the funding of enrollment and retention programs/program series, and projects. Call to vote: unanimous.

SHAWN: alumni that live in new orleaans, sports provides entertainment for them. The fees are setup right now we are trying to be a crutch for athletics.

Antonio: D1 fairly new?

SHAWN: few guys that has played professional; will they figure out how to support themselves? Fee is to give them time to figure out what they are going to do. When they went out of LSU and into UL have had repeated budget cuts sense. Students will help out wwhne they can

Hunter: what if the academic fee can be used to fund other athletics fees? Academic, debate, mini bahah, etc.
Antonio: then we change the name of it.
Hunter: this fee shall be used to budget for university recognized student
Antonio: if we write it us as something small percentage goes to
Hunter: prohibit that? Thinking about theatre dept. only ones in LA American college theatre festival competitively do theatre against one another. If we were able to feel better academic teams and draw people into these programs. ULM is known nationally for debate teams in the country. Do not have to fund that with that fee? Once again it has to be approved in a subcommittee with a bunch of
Antonio: for prohibiting it
Brandon: SG could keep money instead of fee goes away
SHAWN: goes to UL board what would their justification be to keep it; dissolution of fee; desolved
Brandon: is this a way for SG to keep the money if athletics dissolved.
Hunter: is a lot of money.
SHAWN: not really for funding outside of athletics for athletics fee. How does Black La- (Mariam and websters) define it?
Brandon:
Adjournment – 4:33pm